

Certificate of Originality

I hereby certify that the text of this thesis contains no material that has been accepted as part of the requirements for any degree or diploma in any university nor any material previously published or written unless the reference to this material is made.

James A. Taylor



TABLE OF CONTENTS



Acknowledgements

“Is this the real life,
Is this just fantasy.....”

For the past ten years my life has been intertwined with the Faculty of Agriculture, and more specifically the Australian Centre for Precision Agriculture, at the University of Sydney, firstly as an undergraduate and then a postgraduate student. Unfortunately nothing last forever and my days as an “eternal” student have come to a juddering halt (much to the relief of my parents). Along the way I have had help from more people that I can recall and my Oscars acceptance speech would go something like this.....

Firstly I would like to thank my peers and supervisors within the ACPA. My supervisor Alex for providing the opportunity and inspiration for my studies. Over the past 5 years we have probably spent more time apart on different continents than at University however he has always made his time available when it is required and I'd like to thank him personally for that. To my peers in soil science, Budiman, Brett, Damien, Odeh, Johnny and particularly, Matt, Tommy, Tamara, Craig, Dick and Raphael, thank you for the invaluable assistance you have provided along the way both professionally and socially. It seems inconceivable that mine is the last of our theses to be submitted and that we are now spread far and wide around the globe. I am sure our friendship will endure (even if communication lapses from time to time).

I would like to extend my gratitude to John-Paul Praat, Frank Bollen, Darryl Gillgren and the rest of the crazy gang at Lincoln Ventures, Hamilton, as well Stuart Pocknee, Natasha Wells, Craig Kvien and the staff at NESPAL, Tifton. The opportunity to live and work outside of Australia during the last 18 months of my studies is one that I really appreciate and I hope I can return the kindness and support you have shown me in the years to come.

I would like to extend my appreciation to the myriad of international researchers who have passed through the soil science department and provided me with so much friendship and fun. In particular Dennis and more recently Sebastian, David and Bruno who have helped me see this through. I would also like to thank all the “frenchies” (they know who they are) and Vincent .

To my “other” friends from my previous life, I am glad that we are still as close as ever and that our friendship continues. I look forward to seeing what all the fuss about “gainful employment” is.

To my family, who have always supported me in all my endeavours, I cannot describe how good it is to have a such a firm foundation on which to base my life. Everything I have achieved stems from you and that is something I can never repay. Now that this is finished I promise to visit more often (as long as you don't keep me to the promise...).

Finally to the gorgeous Athanasia Chambers. The past ten years have been incredible, not because of my “student lifestyle”, but because you have been there. To have found someone whom I can utterly trust beggars description. I wish you all the best as you resume your studies.

In proverbium cessit, sapientiam vino adumbrari.

Pliny the Elder (Caius Plinius Secundus), *Historia Naturalis*

TABLE OF CONTENTS



Objectives

As stated in the title, the work presented here is an investigation into the application of production-orientated information technologies to the Australian viticultural industry. In particular the research is aimed at:

- i) quantifying the amount of variability within Australian viticultural production (with a particular focus at the moment on yield) to determine if site-specific management is practical,
- ii) incorporating new technologies and methodologies with existing vineyard soil survey protocols to improve the resolution of vineyard soil and environmental mapping,
- iii) utilising the derived soil and environmental information to predict “digital terroirs” at a sub-vineyard scale to ensure that vineyard design is optimised, and
- iv) developing a decision support model to assess “total” grape quality to assist viticulturists with selective harvest strategies.

Prior to the research chapters three literature review chapters are presented that provide a background to the concept of Precision Agriculture (Chapter 1), the factors that influence vineyard site selection and methods of selecting vineyard sites (Chapter 2) and new and emerging technologies available to the viticulture industry (Chapter 3).

Synopsis

Digital terroir is a relatively newly coined phrase and one that may raise the eyebrows and perhaps the ire of the more traditional wine-loving people in the world. The Prologue is a short explanation of what is meant by “digital terroir”, how it differs to the traditional concept of terroir and why it is necessary in modern viticulture.

Following the Prologue, the first three chapters are reviews of existing literature. In Chapter 1, the philosophy and ideals of Precision Agriculture are related to the viticultural industry. Since its inception Precision Agriculture has been primarily the domain of annual broadacre cropping industries. In recent years this has been expanded to other cropping areas e.g. horticulture/viticulture and even livestock with the concept of fenceless farms. The application of Precision Agriculture to perennial crops, like grapevines, requires a slight modification of thinking. More importance is attached to initial site-selection and vineyard design as initial mistakes will be propagated for 30 or more years. There is a greater opportunity to value-add to production information due to the higher level of vertical integration in the wine industry. Finally the emphasis on quality is much stronger in viticulture thus more attention must be given to yield-quality interactions.

Chapter 2 is a review of site factors that affect the yield and quality of grapevines. A discussion on the influence of climate, soil and landform on winegrapes is followed by a review of current and previous indices used to determine vineyard site suitability. The majority of these indices are designed for application at a regional level and are based on climatic variables. This reflects the notion



that climate is the dominate influence on grape yield and quality. In the past decade attempts have been made to scale down these indices and incorporate other environmental data e.g. soil type, geology, and landform attributes. These new approaches to predicting “digital terroir” are still quite broad and aimed at a region or district level. No literature on digital terroir delineation was found at a sub-vineyard level. The current methods for surveying prospective vineyard sites are discussed

Chapter 3 examines the new and emerging technologies available to viticulturists to measure their production systems - both from a crop and environmental point of view. The evolution of the grape yield sensor is documented. Current equipment and the future opportunities for variable-rate technology are reviewed. The main section of the chapter deals with the rapid adoption of remote sensing technologies in viticulture and the applications, advantages and limitations of remotely sensed data. In particular the adoption of canopy imaging and soil electrical conductivity surveys which are now commonly acquired data layers in viticulture. The types of decision support available to viticulturists and Australian suppliers of spatial information are reviewed before future site-specific information needs for Australian viticulture are discussed.

Chapter 4 is the first of the research chapters and investigates the amount of variability currently being recorded by new yield sensors. A specific aim of the chapter was to publish some geostatistical data on winegrape yield. For site-specific management to be effective there must be a certain magnitude of variation and spatial structure to the variation. The suboptimal use of classical statistics in describing spatial variation is discussed and investigated. In particular problems with the commonly used coefficient of variation statistic are highlighted. Alternative spatial statistics are discussed and the yield data collected over the past 4 years is analysed. The amount of yield variation within a block is highly variable and not all blocks appear to be suitable for site-specific management. It is important to identify the most suitable blocks to ensure that the effort put into site-specific management produces the greatest return. Many blocks did exhibit yield variation and this variation often occurred over distances smaller than the current standard soil survey grid used to delineate vineyards. While viticultural yields tend to be higher than broadacre crops the effective magnitude of variation was smaller. A brief geostatistical investigation of winegrape quality parameters is presented. This data is derived from hand sampled surveys as a commercial quality sensor is currently unavailable. From the analysis different quality attributes have different ranges over which they vary and maps of individual quality attributes show little similarity among themselves. This will have implications for quality management and is discussed in more detail in Chapter 8.

Chapters 5, 6 and 7 flow on from one another and are aimed at identifying a protocol to map digital terroirs at a sub-vineyard level. Chapter 5 presents a protocol for conversion of existing point source soil survey information into continuous raster maps using pedotransfer functions. This displays the data in a form that is easier to interpret and also produces data layers that can be easily modelled within GIS or statistical software.

Soil surveys are generally constrained by cost to 75 m -100 m grids. Analysis of yield data indicates that considerable variation can occur at scales <75 m. In recent years it has been common to conduct soil electrical conductivity surveys prior to vineyard design. This provides a layer of information at a much finer scale and may identify features not evident from the broader conventional soil survey. While this information is often collected no protocol for incorporating the EC_a or elevation information into soil property maps exists. Chapter 6 examines the potential of regression kriging to utilise both the conventional and ancillary data to produce more accurate and detailed maps of soil properties. Finally Chapter 7 presents a local site index (LSI) that may be employed to map vine suitability at a sub-vineyard scale. The usefulness of the LSI is discussed with reference to crop response (yield and imagery data) in certain parts of the trial vineyards. The use of regression kriging



did not statistically improve the predictions of soil properties. However when incorporated into the LSI the regression kriged data produced a map that was more coherent with crop response. Despite the lack of statistical response, soil maps appear to benefit from the regression kriging approach. The LSI is being proposed here for the first time and is still open to amendment and validation at other vineyard sites.

Chapter 8 investigates real-time grape quality sensing and the issue of “total” winegrape quality versus the quality of individual grape juice parameters. The first part of the chapter is a literature review of how individual grape quality are measured and the opportunities for on-the-go sensing. The second part is a research paper to develop a fuzzy logic model to predict “total” grape quality from individual grape quality properties. In Chapter 4 it was observed that individual must quality properties such as sugar content, pH and titratable acidity exhibit spatial patterns. To manage this a grower needs a decision support tool to determine how this variation is affecting the final output. The model developed here is aimed at providing this decision support. A “total” grape quality map was derived from the merging of sampled grapes and expert knowledge within the fuzzy logic model. The model presented was applied retrospectively to the vintage however an opportunity exists to trial it for a selective vintage.

The final chapter, Chapter 9, presents some conclusions to the aforementioned objectives and discusses areas for future research for the mapping of digital terroir and the adoption of precision viticulture



Table Of Contents

Certificate of Originality.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Objectives.....	v
Synopsis.....	v
Table of Contents.....	viii
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Tables.....	xviii
List of Abbreviations.....	xx
 Prologue - Digital Terroir: What does it mean?	
P-1 Terroir.....	1
P-2 Digital Terroir.....	1
P-3 References.....	2
 Chapter 1 - General Introduction to Precision Viticulture	
1.1 Introduction.....	3
1.2 Variability and the Production System.....	4
1.3 Why Precision Viticulture?.....	5
1.4 Making PV work.....	7
1.4.1 Maximising Yield and Quality.....	7
1.4.2 Minimising Environment Impact.....	8
1.4.3 Minimising Risk.....	8
1.5 The PA Cycle.....	9
1.5.1 Georeferencing.....	9
1.5.2 Crop, Soil and Climate Monitoring.....	10
1.5.3 Attribute Mapping.....	10
1.5.4 Decision Support System.....	12
1.5.5 Differential Action.....	12
1.6 The state of play of Precision Viticulture.....	12
1.6.1 Australia.....	12
1.6.2 International.....	13
1.7 References.....	14
 Chapter 2 - Vineyard Site Selection.	
2.1 Introduction.....	17
SECTION 1	
2.2 Climatic site selection.....	17
2.2.1 Temperature.....	18
2.2.2 Solar Radiation.....	19
2.2.3 Wind.....	20
2.2.4 Frost.....	20
2.2.5 Humidity.....	22
2.2.6 Rainfall.....	22



2.2.7 <i>Impact of Global Warming</i>	23
2.3 Topographic site selection	27
2.3.1 <i>Slope</i>	27
2.3.2 <i>Aspect</i>	27
2.3.3 <i>Water Bodies</i>	28
2.4 Soil site selection	28
2.4.1 <i>Chemical Properties</i>	28
2.4.2 <i>Physical Properties</i>	31
2.4.3 <i>Classifying Australian Vineyard Soils</i>	32
SECTION 2	
2.5 Vineyard Site Selection	33
2.5.1 <i>A Brief History of Australian Production</i>	33
2.5.2 <i>The Appellation system</i>	34
2.5.3 <i>Climatic Classification and Modelling for Viticulture</i>	35
2.5.3.1 <i>Universal classification systems</i>	35
2.5.3.2 <i>Climate classification in Australia</i>	37
2.5.4 <i>Alternative Approaches to Climate Classification</i>	37
2.5.5 <i>Broadscale Digital Terroir Prediction</i>	38
2.6 Vineyard Design	43
2.6.1 <i>Traditional Approach</i>	44
2.6.2 <i>The Wetherby-ICMS system</i>	44
2.6.3 <i>Meso-climatic Modelling in Viticulture</i>	45
2.6.4 <i>Vineyard-scale Digital Terroir Prediction</i>	46
2.7 Summation and Conclusions	46
2.8 References	47
 Chapter 3 - New Technologies and Opportunities for Australian Viticulture	
3.1 Introduction	55
3.2 Yield Monitoring	55
3.2.1 <i>Mechanical Harvesting</i>	55
3.2.2 <i>Yield Sensors</i>	56
3.2.3 <i>Yield deconvolution and data filtering</i>	61
3.2.4 <i>Quality Sensors</i>	61
3.3 Variable Rate Technology in the Vineyard	61
3.3.1 <i>Controllers</i>	62
3.3.2 <i>Variable-Rate Machinery</i>	62
3.3.3 <i>Variable-Rate Irrigation</i>	63
3.4 Remote Sensing	64
3.4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	64
3.4.2 <i>Background</i>	65
3.4.2.1 <i>The question of resolution</i>	65
3.4.2.2 <i>Correction</i>	66
3.4.3 <i>Applications to Viticulture</i>	67
3.4.3.1 <i>Remote Sensing - Optical Sensors</i>	67
3.4.3.2 <i>Remote Sensing - RADAR/LIDAR</i>	73
3.4.4 <i>Proximal Sensing</i>	73
3.4.4.1 <i>Soil Sensors</i>	73



3.4.4.2 Canopy Sensors	75
3.5 Decision Support Systems, Software and Product Tracking.....	76
3.5.1 <i>Vineyard Management</i>	76
3.5.2 <i>Product Tracking</i>	74
3.6 Information providers for Australian Viticulture.....	78
3.7 Summation and Future Research.....	78
3.8 References.....	80
Chapter 4 - How Variable is Vineyard Production?	
4.1 Introduction.....	87
4.1.1 <i>Scales of Variation</i>	88
4.1.2 <i>Yield</i>	89
4.1.3 <i>Quality</i>	90
4.1.4 <i>Classical Methods of Measuring Variation</i>	91
4.1.5 <i>Spatial Description</i>	91
4.1.5.1 The semi-variogram.....	92
4.1.5.2 The Opportunity Index and its components.....	93
4.1.6 <i>Aims</i>	95
SECTION 1: YIELD	
4.2 Methods.....	95
4.2.1 <i>Data Collection</i>	95
4.2.2 <i>Data Preparation</i>	95
4.2.3 <i>Statistical Analysis</i>	96
4.2.4 <i>Mapping yield data</i>	97
4.3 Results and Discussion.....	97
4.3.1 <i>Classical Statistics</i>	97
4.3.2 <i>Spatial Statistics</i>	98
4.3.3 <i>Comparison of CV and O_i statistics</i>	99
4.3.4 <i>Comparison of Vineyard and Broadacre O_i statistics</i>	99
SECTION 2: QUALITY	
4.4 Methods.....	102
4.4.1 <i>Data Collection</i>	102
4.4.2 <i>Statistical Analysis</i>	103
4.4.3 <i>Mapping quality variables</i>	103
4.5 Results and Discussion.....	103
4.5.1 <i>Classical Statistics</i>	103
4.5.2 <i>Spatial Statistics</i>	103
4.6 Conclusions.....	107
4.7 References.....	107
Appendix 4.1 - Classical Yield Statistics.....	110
Appendix 4.2 - Yield Variogram Parameters.....	113
Appendix 4.3 - Opportunity Index Statistics.....	115
Appendix 4.4 - Residual Variogram Parameters.....	118
Appendix 4.5 - Standardised Yield Maps.....	120
- Cowra 1999.....	120
- Cowra, 2000.....	121
- Mildura, 2002.....	122
- Canowindra, 2003.....	123





Chapter 5 - A protocol for mapping vineyard soil surveys	
5.1	Introduction..... 125
5.2	Methodologies..... 126
5.2.1	<i>Data Collation..... 126</i>
5.2.1.1	Sites..... 126
5.2.1.2	Digitisation of Data..... 126
5.2.2	<i>Data Manipulation..... 126</i>
5.2.2.1	Texture and Particle Size Distribution..... 126
5.2.2.2	Prediction of individual soil properties..... 123
5.2.2.3	Calculation of crop available soil moisture..... 131
5.2.3	<i>Mapping Soil Properties..... 132</i>
5.2.3.1	Georectification..... 132
5.2.3.2	Interpolation of soil attributes..... 132
5.2.3.3	Production of maps..... 132
5.2.4	<i>Model/Protocol Validation..... 132</i>
5.2.4.1	Sample site selection..... 132
5.2.4.2	Soil Analysis..... 133
5.2.4.3	Data manipulation..... 129
5.3	Results and Discussion..... 135
5.3.1	<i>Discussion of Maps..... 135</i>
5.3.2	<i>Accuracy of Maps..... 136</i>
5.3.3	<i>RAW_w versus RAW_q..... 143</i>
5.4	Conclusions..... 144
5.5	References..... 145
Appendix 5.1: Variogram Parameters..... 146	
Appendix 5.2: Vineyard cluster means for soil properties used in determining the soil sampling scheme 147	
 Chapter 6 - Improving the accuracy and spatial resolution of vineyard soil maps using regression kriging	
6.1	Introduction..... 149
6.2	Methodologies..... 150
6.2.1	<i>Survey Sites..... 150</i>
6.2.2	<i>Soil Survey Data..... 150</i>
6.2.3	<i>Ancillary Data..... 150</i>
6.2.4	<i>Validation Sites..... 150</i>
6.2.5	<i>Ordinary Kriging and DTM attribute derivation..... 151</i>
6.2.6	<i>Regression Kriging..... 151</i>
6.2.6.1	Models..... 152
6.2.6.2	Interpolation..... 153
6.3	Results and Discussion..... 154
6.3.1	<i>Fit of Models..... 154</i>
6.3.2	<i>Validation of Models..... 154</i>
6.3.3	<i>Regression Kriging vs Ordinary Kriging..... 155</i>
6.4	Conclusions..... 160
6.5	References..... 160
Appendix 6.1 - Regression Equations..... 162	



Appendix 6.2 - Fits (r^2 and RMSE) of Regression Models.....	165
to the ‘Regression’ dataset	
Appendix 6.3 - Regression Kriging Model Fits (r^2 and RMSE).....	166
for Individual Vineyards to the “Validation” dataset	
Appendix 6.4 - Regression Kriged soil maps.....	167
- Cowra.....	167
- Canowindra.....	170
- Pokolbin.....	173
Chapter 7 - Predicting Digital Terroirs to aid Vineyard Design	
7.1 Introduction.....	177
7.1.1 <i>Of Zones and Classes.....</i>	178
7.2 Methodologies.....	178
7.2.1 <i>Data Manipulation.....</i>	178
7.2.2 <i>A Local Site Index for Viticulture.....</i>	179
7.2.3 <i>Cluster Analysis.....</i>	181
7.2.4 <i>Determining the Optimal Number of Zones.....</i>	182
7.2.4.1 <i>Using MPE and FPI.....</i>	182
7.2.4.2 <i>Class/Zone Opportunity Indices.....</i>	183
7.2.5 <i>Evaluation of the Clustering Process.....</i>	184
7.3 Results and Discussion.....	184
7.3.1 <i>Vineyard Site Index.....</i>	184
7.3.2 <i>Class optimisation.....</i>	187
7.4 Conclusions.....	190
7.5 References.....	190
Appendix 7.1 - SRAD Input Data.....	195
Appendix 7.2 - MPE and FPI plots.....	196
Appendix 7.3 - A Spatial Opportunity Index for Precision Agriculture.....	198
Chapter 8: Development of a fuzzy logic model for the prediction of	
“total” grape quality from multiple must attributes	
SECTION 1: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE- The “Quality” question	
8a.1 The Meaning of Quality.....	203
8a.2 The Determination of Quality (Quantifying Quality).....	203
8a.2.1 <i>Quality indices of grapes/wine.....</i>	204
8a.2.2 <i>Quality and the Consumer.....</i>	206
8a.3 Real-time sensing.....	206
8a.3.1 <i>Types of Sensors.....</i>	207
8a.4 Multiple quality indicators and multi-data fusion.....	209
SECTION 2: RESEARCH PAPER	
8b.1 Introduction.....	212
8b.2 Methods.....	213

8b.2.1 Site and sample selection.....	213
8b.2.2 Sample preparation and analysis.....	214
8b.2.3 Fuzzy logic quality model.....	214
8b.2.4 Prediction and interpolation of grape quality and..... gross margin of production	215
8b.3 Results and Discussion.....	216
8b.3.1 Results of Must Analysis.....	216
8b.3.2 Significance of Clustering.....	217
8b.3.3 Test of the model.....	218
8b.3.4 Determining site-specific quality.....	218
8b.3.5 Mapping of fruit quality.....	219
8b.3.6 Gross-margin analysis.....	221
8b.4 Conclusions.....	222
8b.5 References.....	222
Appendix 8.1 - Membership Functions for pH.....	227
Appendix 8.2 - If-then rules for fuzzy grape quality model.....	228
Chapter 9 - General Discussion and Future Work.....	229
9.1 References.....	233



List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Title page of Jethro Tulls 1731 essay on tillage and vegetation advocating the benefits of a more site-specific approach using new technologies (courtesy of Prof. A McBratney, The University of Sydney).....	6
Figure 1.2: The evolving timeline of precision agriculture from a uniform to a totally site-specific approach (courtesy of the Australian Centre for Precision Agriculture www.usyd.edu.au/su/agric/acpa).....	6
Figure 1.3: An example of yield variability in winegrapes (reproduced from Lamb, 2000).	11
Figure 1.4: The Precision Agriculture wheel model showing the five main processes for a site-specific management system (courtesy of the Australian Centre for Precision Agriculture, http://www.usyd.edu.au/su/agric/acpa).....	11
Figure 2.1: The stages of development of the grape vine (from Johnson and Robinson, 2001).....	17
Figure 2.2: Heaters (chaufferettes) in operation in France (top) and overhead sprinklers providing an ice covering for the vines (bottom) (courtesy of Chablis-geoffrey.com).....	21
Figure 2.3: Example of a shift in cultivar suitability in Germany due to climate change (from Shultz 2000b).....	24
Figure 2.4: Predicted temperature and rainfall changes for Australia for the next 70 years (from McInnes et al, 2003).....	25
Figure 2.5. Example of katabatic flow over a landscape (courtesy of Environment Canada http://www.ec.gc.ca).....	26
Figure 2.6: Comparison of Domestic and Export wine sales for Australia for the past 35 years (courtesy of the Australian Wine and Brandy Corporation. www.awbc.com.au).....	34
Figure 2.7: Map of Vineyard suitability in New York State, USA (from Magarey et al, 1996).....	39
Figure 2.8: Wine Zones in Australia (published by AWBC, www.awbc.com.au).....	41
Figure 2.9: Geographic Indications for viticulture in Australia (published by AWBC. www.awbc.com.au).....	42
Figure 2.10: NSW homoclimes of Montpellier in Southern France. Red = most similar, Yellow = similar (Adapted from Tunstall and Sparks, 2001).....	43
Figure 2.11: Comparison of RAW, TAW, DAW and SAW with traditional measurements in kPa of soil moisture (from Cass, 1999).....	45
Figure 3.1: The process of mechanical harvesting - The vine is shaken by the beater rods (A) causing the fruit to fall onto paddles (B) suspended under the vine. The motion of the harvester shuffles the grapes onto a conveyor belt (B). The conveyor belt transports the grapes to the back of the harvester where they are carried up the back of the harvester in a bucket elevator (C) and deposited on a cross conveyor belt (C). Extractor fans (C) remove any leaf debris and the grapes are conveyed to the discharge conveyor belt (D) and into a bin (E).....	57
Figure 3.2: Images of the Gondola weighing system developed by the University	



of Melbourne and Southcorp wines showing (A) the complete system, (b) the location of the load cells on the gondola and (C) the mobile field computer used for data collection mounted in the tractor cab. (Courtesy of Richard Hamilton, SouthCorp Pty. Ltd.)	58
Figure 3.3: Components of the HM-570 Yield monitoring system (clockwise from below) DGPS unit, on-board data logger, Ultrasonic sensor system mounted on the discharge conveyor and the control box.....	59
Figure 3.4: Images of the Farmscan Grape Yield monitor: (top) the weight bridge located in the discharge conveyer, (middle) a close up of the load cells and (bottom) the Canlink 3000 mounted in the tractor cab.(Courtesy of Computronics Pty. Ltd.).....	60
Figure: 3.5 A camera-based spot sprayer in operation under vines (courtesy of Avidor) (top) and a mechanical pruner in operation (bottom) (courtesy of Pacific Northwest National Laboratory).....	62
Figure 3.6: An example of the different spatial resolutions obtained from different sensors used on a vineyard in the Hunter Valley, NSW. From the top a Landsat 7 image (25m ² pixels), a Spotlite panchromatic image (10m ² pixels and an aerial photo (~2m ² pixels).....	65
Figure 3.7: Visual representation of the mixed pixel approach advocated by Lamb <i>et al.</i> , 2001 (adapted from Hall <i>et al.</i> , 2002).....	71
Figure 3.8: Examples of different vegetative indices derived from an aerial NIR image of a vineyard near Cowra. Images are (from the top left clockwise) Colour (B,G,R), NDVI, Plant Cell Density (PCD), Photosynthetic Vigour Ratio (PVR), Plant Pigment Ratio (PPR) and False Colour (B,G,NIR).....	71
Figure 3.9: Relative vegetation index images from a 12 acre phylloxera infested vineyard near Oakville, Ca. for 1993 (left), 1994 (middle) and a relative vegetation difference image (right). Green areas indicate high vegetative matter and brown low. In the difference image the red and yellow areas indicate where relative vegetation has declined between the years - primarily due to an increased phylloxera population (Adapted from Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 1996).....	72
Figure 3.10: The Veris 3100 EC cart in a vineyard near Cowra NSW (left) and using a ground penetrating radar (GPR) to map soil in a vineyard (right) (courtesy of S. Hubbard, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, Ca., USA.).....	73
Figure 3.11: Raw (left) and kriged (right) Veris 3100 EC _a soil measurements (0-90cm) for a block of Cabernet Franc (left-hand side) and Merlot (right-hand side) grapes near Cowra, NSW (NB These are the same blocks shown in Figure 3.8).....	74
Figure 4.1: Plot of change in soluble solids (sugar) and trunk circumference in a vineyard in Rapaura, New Zealand (from Trought, 1997).....	90
Figure 4.2: Fitted variograms using a single model (exponential, A) and double model (double spherical, B) for wheat yields. The double model accounts for nested variation in the data.....	92
Figure 4.3: Distributions of mean yield (Mg/ha), yield CV and block area (ha) for the blocks used in this study.....	98
Figure 4.4: Standardised raw yield maps of blocks from the entire data set exhibiting a relatively high CV statistic compared with the other surveyed data (Mean CV is 51.1).....	101

Figure 4.5: Standardised raw yield maps of blocks from the entire data set exhibiting a relatively low CV statistic compared with other surveyed data (Mean CV is 35.75).....	101
Figure 4.6: Standardised variograms for for handsampled winegrape quality data (Brix°, pH and TA) at two vineyards in Canowindra (Cano.) and Pokolbin (Pok.), NSW.....	104
Figure 4.7: Interpolated maps of Brix°, pH and TA (mg/L) for 2 blocks of Cabernet grapes at Canowindra, NSW.....	105
Figure 4.8: Interpolated maps of Brix°, pH and TA (mg/L) for 6 blocks of Shiraz grapes at Pokolbin, NSW.....	106
Figure 5.1: An example of the current protocol for displaying vineyard soil surveys as point maps. Point 58 has been enhanced to show the level of detail in the map with horizon depths, textures and colour simultaneously displayed.....	127
Figure 5.2: The Australian Texture Triangle (after Marshall, 1947) showing the median location of soil textures found in Minasny's (2000) study.....	128
Figure 5.3: Schematic of the process of converting existing point orientated vineyard soil surveys into interpolated raster images. The next step in the process, the prediction of digital terroirs, is also indicated.....	133
Figure 5.4: Clustering analysis and location of soil samples taken for model validation for the three vineyards in the survey.....	134
Figure 5.5: Interpolated maps of RAW_w (left) and RAW_q (right) for Cowra (top), Pokolbin (middle) and Canowindra (bottom).....	137
Figure 5.6: Interpolated maps of topsoil (left) and subsoil (right) clay % for Cowra (top), Pokolbin (middle) and Canowindra (bottom).....	138
Figure 5.7: Interpolated maps of topsoil (left) and subsoil (right) sand % for Cowra (top), Pokolbin (middle) and Canowindra (bottom).....	139
Figure 5.8: Interpolated maps of topsoil depth (left) and rootzone depth (right) for Cowra (top), Pokolbin (middle) and Canowindra (bottom). (NB topsoil depth was not recorded in the Pokolbin survey).....	140
Figure 5.9: Scatter plots of Interpolated vs Measured soil properties from the 15 validation sites plotted individually for the three sturvey vineyards.....	141
Figure 5.10: Comparative maps of soil survey interpolated 30-90cm Clay % (top), 30-90cm Veris EC_a (middle) and NDVI for part of the Pokolbin vineyard draped over a DEM. The total area shown is 11.3 ha.....	142
Figure 5.11: Graph of Clay% vs RAW_w and RAW_q (for the range -10 to -60 kPa) highlighting the different response between the approaches of Wetherby and Minasny at low clay %.....	143
Figure 6.1: Steps involved in the regression kriging approach of Odeh <i>et al.</i> (1995) (adapted from Odeh <i>et al</i> , 1995).....	153
Figure 6.2 Plot of Mean Rank against the Standard deviation of Ranks for the seven regression kriging models used in this study.....	155
Figure 6.3: Predicted maps at Cowra for RAW (top), 0-30cm Clay% (middle) and 30-90cm Clay% (bottom) using OK (LHS) and sGAMpca RK (RHS).....	156
Figure 6.4: Predicted maps at Canowindra for RAW (top), 0-30cm Clay% (middle) and 30-90cm Clay% (bottom) using OK (LHS) and sGAMpca RK (RHS).....	157
Figure 6.5: Predicted maps at Pokolbin for RAW (top), 0-30cm Clay% (middle)	

and 30-90cm Clay% (bottom) using OK (LHS) and sGAMpca RK (RHS)...	158
Figure 7.1: Vineyard varietal maps for Cowra (top) and Canowindra (bottom).....	180
Figure 7.2: Maps of LSI _{OK} (top) and LSI _{RK} (bottom) for Cowra. (Maps are displayed as quantiles).....	185
Figure 7.3: Maps of LSI _{OK} (top) and LSI _{RK} (bottom) for Canowindra. (Maps are displayed as quantiles).....	186
Figure 7.4: Comparison of the influence of clustering without (left) and with (right) spatial coordinates on the contiguity of management classes.....	188
Figure 7.5: A comparison of various data layer and cluster analysis results for two chardonnay blocks at Cowra.....	191
Figure 7.6: A comparison of various data layers and cluster analysis results for two semillon blocks at Canowindra.....	191
Figure 8a.1: Diagrammatic representation of the process of multi data fusion and decision making of winegrapes.....	211
Figure 8b.1: Aerial image of the survey area and result of the 2-cluster analysis showing winegrape sample sites.....	214
Figure 8b.2: Diagrammatic representation of a nested transect sampling scheme. Numbers indicate vines being sampled.....	214
Figure 8b.3: Graphical results of ANOVA of winegrape properties and toral quality showing spread of the data, cluster means and Students t-test comparison.....	217
Figure 8b.4: Distribution of permutation output from the three models ((A) triangular, (B) trapezoidal and (C) gaussian).....	218
Figure 8b.5: Comparison of output from the models vs. expert opinion.....	218
Figure 8b.6: Interpolated maps of individual must properties.....	220
Figure 8b.7: Interpolated map of overall quality prediction from the fuzzy trapezoidal MF model (showing simplified inset of wine grades).....	221



List of Tables

Table 2.1: Effect of soil salinity (EC_{se}) on plant and vine performance (adapted from Cass <i>et al.</i> , 1995 and Finnigan, 1999).....	31
Table 2.2: Temperature Summation (day degrees) and associated winestyles for California (after Amerine and Winkler, 1944).....	35
Table 2.3: Climatic zones after Jackson and Cherry (1988) indicating LTI thresholds and cultivar suitability.....	36
Table 3.1: Spectral, Spatial, Radiometric and Temporal specifications for satellite-borne sensors used in Australian agriculture.....	68-9
Table 3.2: Functionality of existing software for aiding decision making in vineyards (and wineries). Y = Yes, N = No, P = Possible (usually with a n add-on) and missing values indicates uncertainty.....	77
Table 3.3: Table of Australian spatial information suppliers for viticulture.....	79
Table 4.1: Common classical statistics used to describe variation and their formulae (adapted from Goovaerts, 1997).....	92
Table 4.2: Means and ANOVA results from comparison of classical and spatial statistics compared between years, vineyards and sensor type.....	100
Table 4.3: Comparison of location, sensor, classical and Oi statistics for six fields to highlight the difference between the CV and CV_a statistics. Standardised yield maps are shown in Figures 4.4 and 4.5.....	100
Table 4.4: Summary statistics for hand sampled winegrape quality characteristics (Brix°, pH and TA) for two vineyards in Canowindra and Pokolbin, NSW.....	104
Table 4.5. Variogram parameters for hand sampled winegrape quality characteristics (Brix°, pH and TA) at two vineyards in Canowindra and Pokolbin, NSW.....	104
Table 5.1: Classification then simplification and reclassification of the soil textures used by surveyors in the three soil surveys used in this study.....	129
Table 5.2: A look-up table for particle size distribution (PSD), available water content, RAW_q and bulk density derived from the reclassified textures in Table 5.1 using the PTFs of Minasny (2000).....	130
Table 5.3 Wetherby's Readily Available Water (RAW_w) lookup table (Adapted from Wetherby, 2000).....	131
Table 5.4: RMSE of prediction for predicted soil properties (topsoil and subsoil Clay% and Sand%, RAW_w RAW_q) tested against independent soil samples for the three study vineyards.....	136
Table 6.1: Combined r^2 and RMSE of RK model responses to soil properties (topsoil clay%, subsoil clay% and RAW (mm)) for the soil validation data from Pokolbin, Canowindra and Cowra vineyards dataset from all vineyards.....	155
Table 7.1: Vegetative Indices used in Precision Agriculture.....	178
Table 7.2: List of attributes used in each clustering approach.....	182



Table 7.3: Table of optimum clusters as determined by MPE/FPI.....	188
Table 7.4: r^2 values from ANOVA of cluster models. (The best fits are shown in bold).....	189
Table 8b.1: Range of values for individual must quality attributes.....	215
Table 8b.2: Quality grades and respective price and model output range.....	215
Table 8b.3: Summary statistics of the grape must analyses.....	216
Table 8b.4: Cluster means and summary of the ANOVA of winegrape attributes and total quality between the two clusters. (<i>Italics indicate significance at the 0.1 level</i>).....	217
Table 8b.5: Correlation matrix for individual must characteristics and overall predicted quality (from model).....	219



List of Abbreviations

ACPA	Australian Centre for Precision Agriculture
AHP	Analytical Hierachy Process
AOC	Appellation d'Originie Controlee
ART	Adaptive Resonance Theory
AWBC	Australian Wine and Brandy Corporation
AWC	Available Water Content
AWRI	Australian Wine Research Institute
CDA	Catchment Decision Assistant
CLORPT	Climate Organism Relief Parent material and Time
CRCV	Cooperative Research Centre for Viticulture
CSIRO	Commonwealt Scientic and Industrial Research Organisation
CSTARS	Center for Spatial Technologies and Remote Sensing
CV	Coefficient of Variation
CVa	Areal Coefficient of Variation
DAW	Deficit Available Water
DC	Direct Current
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DIPNR	Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
ECa	Apparent Electrical Conductivity
EM	Electro magnetic
EMI	Electro Magnetic Induction
ENFET	Enzyme Field Effect Transistor
ENSAM	École Nationale Supérieure Agronomique de Montpellier
ERIC	Environmental and Research Consortium
FPI	Fuzziness Performance Index
FVT	Free Volatile Terpenes
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GAM	Generalised Additive Model
GCP	Ground Control Point
GDA	Geodetic Datum of Australia
GI	Geographical Indications
GIS	Geographical Information Sysem
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRAPES	Grapevine Remote-sensing Analysis of Phylloxera Early Stress
GWRDC	Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
INRA	Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique
ISFET	Ion-Selective Field Effect Transistor
LAI	Leaf Area Index
LSI	Local Site Index
LTI	Latitude Temperature Index
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
MOSFET	Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
MPE	Modified Partial Entropy
MTWM	Mean Temperature of Warmest Month

NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDVI	Normalised Differences Vegetation Index
NFS	Neuro-Fuzzy Systems
NIR	Near Infr-Red
NIRS	Near Infra-Red Spectroscopy
NNA	Neural Network Analysis
NNA	Neural Networks
OK	Ordinary Kriging
Oi	Opportuniy Index
PA	Precision Agriculture
PCA	Principal Components Analysis
PCD	Palnt Cell Density Ratio
POK	Punctual Ordinary Kriging
PPR	Plant Pigment Ratio
PSD	Particle Size Distribution
PTF	Pedotransfer Function
PV	Precision Viticulture
PVR	Photosynthetic Vigour Ratio
PVT	Potential Volatile Terpenes
QCM	Quartz Crystal Microbalances
RAW	Readily Avaiolable Water
RBF	Radial Base Function
RK	Regression Kriging
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RTK-GPS	Real Time Kinematic Global Positioning System
RVI	Relative Vegetation Index
S	Spatial Structure
SCORPAN	Soil, Climate, Organisms, Relief, Parent material, Age and Space (N)
SI	Site Index
SLR	Stepwise Linear Regression
SOM	Self Organising Maps
SSC	Soluble Sugar Content
SSCM	Site Specific Crop Management
TA	Titratable Acidity
TAW	Total Available Water
UVV	Unité Vigne et Vin
VDQS	Vin D�elimit�e de Qualit�e Sup�erieure
VRI	Variable Rate Irrigation
VRT	Variable Rate Technology
WGS84	World Geodetic System 1984
UTM	Universal Transverse Mecator